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**ABSTRACT**  
The acute toxicities of extracts of Bark of Mahogany (*Khaya grandifoliola*) in aqueous and ethanolic solutions were evaluated against the edible periwinkle (*Pachymelania aurita*) in laboratory bioassays. From the derived toxicity indices, the aqueous extract (96h LC\(_{50}\) = 17.4ml/L, 3.48g/L) was found to be 24.2 times less toxic than the ethanolic extracts (96h LC\(_{50}\) = 0.24ml/L, 0.144g/L). ANOVA showed significant differences in the response of *Pachymelania aurita* to different concentrations of exposure to extracts at 48hr, 72hr and 96hr respectively. Symptoms of toxicosis observed in the test organisms were immobility and eventually death.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In Nigeria, the lagoons and estuaries have continued to be under intensifying pressure from pollution, which is perhaps, the most serious threat that can be posed to the Nigerian coastal waters. Water pollution threatens aquatic life in freshwater bodies, transition zones as well as marine habitats. The most notable pollution point sources arise from the dumping of untreated or partially treated sewage in the lagoon (Andem et al., 2013, Ekundayo, 1977; Akpata and Ekundayo, 1978; Chukwu, 2002); deposition of DDT, dyes and heavy metals from industrial effluents (Ajao, 1989, Ajao and Fagade, 1990 and Chukwu, 2006); erosion of coastal beaches (Ibe, 1988); leachates from solid waste dumps (Fodeke, 1985); discharge of biodegradable wood waste from sawmills located along the lagoon (Nwankwo and Akinsoji, 1989; Nwankwo et al., 1994; Chukwu and Okeowo, 2006).

The appreciation for wooden products hasn’t wavered over the years and as such these manufacturing operations generate wood residue which could form leachate in contact with water (such as bark, sawdust, shavings, wood chops and off-cuts). Wood residue decomposition is a slow process that can result in decades of leachate production. During the period of prolonged water saturation, substances found naturally in wood such as resin acids, lignin, legumes, fatty acids and tannins dissolve from the wood waste in high concentrations and can have impacts on the ground water, nearby surface water as well as aquatic bodies.

Benthic organisms, most of which are highly immobile, are effective in pollution studies since they are easy to monitor and often lack the ability to escape from polluted sites (Nkwoji et al., 2010). By being filter feeders are most likely to filter the water of these pollutants. Over a period, these toxins may accumulate in the tissues of these organisms, disrupt their body functions, alter their growth and reproductive patterns or eventually lead to their death (Chukwu and Odunzeh, 2003).

The edible periwinkle *Pachymelania aurita* was chosen for this study due to its ability to tolerate high levels of pollution and major structural changes Brown and Ajao (2004). This study aims to determine the relative toxicity (LC50) of ethanolic and aqueous bark extracts of Mahogany *Khaya grandifoliola* on *P. aurita*.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Test Animals**

*Pachymelania aurita* was handpicked from the coastal waters in the shelly sand sediments of the southern part of the Lagos lagoon bordering the University of Lagos during low tides. 100 organisms were kept for 14 days in plastic tanks (755cm by 30cm by 32cm) containing lagoon water (aerated) and sediments from the site of collection of the animals to stimulate a typical brackish water medium.

**Test Compounds**

**Aqueous Extracts**

200g of ground bark of *Khaya grandifoliola* was sieved, soaked in 1L of distilled water for 72hrs, filtered through a muslin cloth and stored in a plastic container at room temperature.

**Ethanolic Extracts**

1500g of ground *K. grandifoliola* was put in a Soxhlet extractor with 2.5L of 98% absolute ethanol reagent. It was heated for 3 – 4 hrs. The solvent was collected and stored in a silver flask. The ethanol was recovered by distillation and the residue collected into a 100ml beaker and was concentrated by heating in a hot air oven at 78°C. It was cooled in a condenser, weighed and stored in a dark glass bottle.

**Determination of physico-chemical parameters of test media**

In the course of this assessment, water pH, total dissolved solids (TDS), dissolved oxygen and salinity were measured as part of the standard process of operation.

**Bioassay procedures**

**Preparation of Substrate**

The shelly sand substrates obtained wet from the lagoon were dried in the sun to standardize moisture content and particle size. The prepared sediment was then spread out in the test medium (250g for acute tests and 1500g for sub-lethal tests).
Preliminary and Definite tests
The acute single toxicity procedure followed methods used for fixed – point discharge and static renewal bioassays which involved the determination of the lethal concentration of the aqueous and ethanolic extracts of bark of *K. grandifoliola* that would cause the mortality of 50% of the exposed organisms within 24, 48, 72 and 96hr respectively.

Preliminary tests were performed to determine the suitable concentration range of effluents for the experiment. 1000ml of the dilution water (from the Lagos lagoon: the site of organism collection) was introduced into the glass tanks. Different concentrations of the toxicants were also introduced into the glass tanks per litre of the lagoon water. Ten active organisms were selected from the acclimatized tanks and transferred into the bioassay tanks. The control tank was kept free of any form of toxicant.

A definitive test was performed using 6 different concentrations of the extracts. Each test was done in triplicates. The mean value of the results was taken as percentage mortality to eliminate errors due to handling differences in size and weight and other intrinsic physiological imbalances in the organism.

The grades of concentration used were:

Aqueous extract: 0ml/L (control); 10ml/L (2g/L); 40ml/L (8g/L); 60ml/L (12g/L); 80ml/L (16g/L) and 100ml/L (20g/L).

Ethanolic extract: 0ml/L (control); 0.2ml/L (0.12g/L); 0.4ml/L (0.24g/L); 0.6ml/L (0.36g/L); 0.8ml/L (0.48g/L) and 1.0ml/L (0.60g/L).

Assessment of Quantal Response
Immobilization was the quantal response assessed in this study. Mortality count was taken once every 24hrs over a 96hr period. *P. aurita* were considered dead when there was no mobility response to gentle prodding with a pin and this was further confirmed with a change in odour from its original sea weedy smell to a pungent odour. Symptoms of extract toxicity and behavioral changes such as disorientation and inactivity were monitored on a 12-hourly basis for 96hr duration. No immobility was recorded in the control tank.

Statistical Analysis
Dose immobility (toxicological data) was analyzed by probit analysis after Finney (1971). Indices of toxicity were based on LC5, LC50, LC95 and T.F values. The lethal concentration that will cause 50% immobility of the exposed population was taken as the median tolerance limit, LC50, while LC95 as the lethal concentration that would cause 95% immobility. T.F is the toxicity factor of relative potency measurements. These values are used as indices for assessing the susceptibility of the test organisms to the toxicants and were determined using methods according to Reish and Oshida (1986). One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and comparison of Student Newman Keuls (SNK) tests were used to test for statistical differences in the results of 96hrs toxicity tests according to Chukwu (2001). All analysis was performed using computer statistical package SPSS 10.0.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Physico-Chemical parameters of the test media
The mean values obtained for the physico-chemical parameters of the test media throughout the period of the experiment were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Dissolved Solids</td>
<td>3.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissolved Oxygen</td>
<td>8.40 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salinity</td>
<td>20‰</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>25.5°C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Relative toxicities of aqueous and ethanolic extracts of *Khaya grandifoliola* against *Pachymelania aurita*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure time</th>
<th>LC50 (95%) C.L.ml/L</th>
<th>LC95 (95%) C.L.ml/L</th>
<th>LC5 (95%) C.L.ml/L</th>
<th>Slope ± S.E</th>
<th>D.F</th>
<th>Probitline equation</th>
<th>T.F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>95.65 (63.53-9460)</td>
<td>9460.10 (957.30-970)</td>
<td>0.97 (0.00-)</td>
<td>0.82±0.31</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Y=3.37+0.82</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The result of the dose mortality of aqueous and ethanolic extracts against *P. aurita* at 48, 72 and 96hr periods of exposure are shown in tables 2 and 3. The median lethal concentration of aqueous extract against *P. aurita* decreased as the duration of exposure increased. Fig. 1 shows the graph of probit response and log-dose concentration of aqueous extract against *P. aurita*. From table 3, the concentrations of ethanolic extract that will cause 50% immobility to *P. aurita* (*LC*$_{50}$) at 48, 72 and 96hr periods of exposure were 0.95ml/L, 0.43ml/L and 0.24ml/L respectively. Fig 2 shows the graph of probit response and log-dose of ethanolic extract.

Based on the computed toxicity factor (96h *LC*$_{50}$) ratios, the ethanolic extract was found to be 7.5 times more toxic than aqueous extract against *P. aurita* (table 2 and 3).

### Table 3 relative toxicity of ethanolic extract of *K. grandifoliola* against *P. aurita*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure time</th>
<th><em>LC</em>$_{50}$ (95% C.L.ml/L)</th>
<th><em>LC</em>$_{95}$ (95% C.L.ml/L)</th>
<th>Slope ±S.E</th>
<th>D.F</th>
<th>Probit line equation</th>
<th>T.F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.06 (0.00-0.10)</td>
<td>0.98 (0.68-3.19)</td>
<td>15.06 (4.02-5910.03)</td>
<td>1.39±0.45</td>
<td>Y=5.01+1.39x</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>0.06 (0.01-0.12)</td>
<td>0.43 (0.31-0.55)</td>
<td>2.29 (1.67-12.63)</td>
<td>1.96±0.45</td>
<td>Y=5.72+1.96x</td>
<td>2.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>0.04 (0.01-0.09)</td>
<td>0.24 (0.13-0.32)</td>
<td>1.47 (0.97-3.83)</td>
<td>2.10±0.47</td>
<td>Y=6.30+2.10x</td>
<td>4.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**C.L = confidence limit**  
**LC = lethal concentration**  
**D.F = degree of freedom**  
**T.F = toxicity factor**  

T.F = \( \frac{LC_{50} \text{ of test compound at 48hrs}}{LC_{50} \text{ of test compound at other hours (72 and 96hrs)}} \)
The randomized ANOVA showed significant differences between all the treatment at 48, 72, and 96hr of exposure to the extracts. SNK tests at 5% significant level, the immobility response at 10ml/L, 40ml/L, 60ml/L, 80ml/L and 100ml/L were significantly different from the control at 48, 72 and 96hrs of exposure to aqueous extracts (table 4). However, there was no significant difference between each concentration at 48, 72 and 96hrs of exposure.

Table 4 percentage mean immobility response of *P. aurita* exposed to different concentration of aqueous extract of *K. grandifoliola*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conc. (ml/L)</th>
<th>No of org.</th>
<th>Percentage mortality (%) / Time (hrs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means followed by the same subscript letter in a column are not sig. diff. in the SNK test (P=0.05)

Similarly, SNK tests at 5% significant level, the immobility response to ethanolic extracts at 0.2ml/L, 0.4ml/L, 0.6ml/L, 0.8ml/L and 1.0ml/L were significantly different from the control at 48, 72 and 96hrs of exposure (table 5). However, at 96hrs of exposure, there was no significant difference between 1.0ml/L and 0.8ml/L mean mortality response as shown in table 5.

Table 5 percentage mean immobility response of *P. aurita* exposed to different concentration of ethanolic extract of *K. grandifoliola*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conc. (ml/L)</th>
<th>No of org.</th>
<th>Percentage mortality (%) / Time (hrs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means followed by the same subscript letter in a column are not sig. diff. in the SNK test (P=0.05)
The summary of the statistical difference between all concentrations pairing at 48, 72 and 96hrs of exposure using SNK is shown in tables 4 and 5.

4. CONCLUSION
In this study, there was a record of 50% immobility when *Pachymelania aurita* was exposed to 95.65ml/L, 31.31ml/L and 17.41ml/L of aqueous extract at 48, 72 and 96hrs of exposure. On the other hand, there was also 50% immobility when exposed to 0.98ml/L, 0.43ml/L and 0.24ml/L of ethanolic extract. The toxicity of both extracts increased with time, that is, 96hr LC\textsubscript{50} value for periwinkles in aqueous extracts was 5.49 times greater than 24hr LC\textsubscript{50} as the LC\textsubscript{50} values decreased from 95.65ml/L to 17.41ml/L. Furthermore, the ethanolic extract was found to be 24.2 times more toxic than the aqueous extract which agrees with works done by Chukwu and Okeowo (2006).

The toxicity increased between 24 and 96 hours as LC\textsubscript{50} values decreased, therefore, the longer the period of exposure of an organism to a given toxicant, the lower the quantity required to reach tolerance levels.
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